

केन्द्रीय सूचना आयोग  
Central Information Commission  
बाबा गंगनाथ मार्ग, मुनिरका  
Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka  
नई दिल्ली, New Delhi – 110067

द्वितीय अपील संख्या / Second Appeal No. **CIC/BARCM/A/2024/606532**

Shri Ganesan Mani

... अपीलकर्ता / Appellant

VERSUS/बनाम

PIO,  
Bhabha Atomic Research Centre (Mumbai)

...प्रतिवादीगण / Respondent

Date of Hearing : 04.02.2025

Date of Decision : 04.02.2025

**Chief Information Commissioner : Shri Heeralal Samariya**

**Relevant facts emerging from appeal:**

RTI application filed on : 23.11.2023

PIO replied on : 19.12.2023

First Appeal filed on : 23.12.2023

First Appellate Order on : 02.02.2024

2<sup>nd</sup> Appeal/complaint received on : 15.02.2024

**Information sought and background of the case:**

The Appellant filed an RTI application dated 23.11.2023 seeking information on following points:-

- 1) "copy of height of Deputy cheif security officer(A) (anushaktinagar) at the time of Assistant security officer physical exam.
- 2) A copy of the height prescribed by department to the Assistant security officer period 1992-2000.
- 3) Copy of anushaktinagar Deputy cheif security officer(A) mandatory induction training.
- 4) Copy of the year of commencement of physical exam for Assistant security officer.
- 5) Copy of the Assistant security officer recruitment criteria period 1992-2000.
- 6) Copy of anushaktinagar dcso((A) sop.
- 7) Copy of A.S.O TO S.O, S O TO DCSO, DCSO TO CSO promoted department criteria.

The Chief Administrative Officer (A) & CPIO, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre (Mumbai) vide letter dated 19.12.2023 replied as under:-

1. As informed by deemed PIO, DYCSO (A) posts does not exists in BARC
2. As informed by deemed PIO & as far as BARC, Mumbai is concerned, the information sought is not available in this Centre
3. As informed by deemed PIO, DYCSO (A) posts does not exists in BARC

4, 5 & 6. As informed by deemed PIO & as far as BARC, Mumbai is concerned, the information sought is not available in this Centre

7. It is informed that the recruitment rules for ASO (A), SO, DyCSO, CSO is available in public domain under the link <https://dae.gov.in/recruitment-rules/>.”

Dissatisfied with the response received from the CPIO, the Appellant filed a First Appeal dated 23.12.2023. The FAA vide order dated 02.02.2024 upheld the reply of CPIO.

Aggrieved and dissatisfied, the Appellant approached the Commission with the instant Second Appeal.

**Facts emerging in Course of Hearing:**

**Appellant:** Present

**Respondent:** Shri Santly.MK, Scientific officer (F)

The Submissions of both the parties were heard. Further, the written submission 27.1.2025 filed by the Respondent were considered.

**Decision:**

Upon the perusal of the case records & submissions, the Commission observes that an appropriate reply has been provided by the CPIO. No further action lies.

**The Appeal stands disposed off.**

**Heeralal Samariya (हीरालाल सामरिया)**  
**Chief Information Commissioner (मुख्य सूचना आयुक्त)**

Authenticated true copy  
(अभिप्रमाणित सत्यापित प्रति)

S. K. Chitkara (एस. के. चिटकारा)  
Dy. Registrar (उप-पंजीयक)  
011-26186535

---

**Recomendation(s) to PA under section 25(5) of the RTI Act, 2005:-**

Nil