## CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Club Building (Near Post Office) Old JNU Campus, New Delhi - 110067 Tel: +91-11-26161796

> Decision No. C1C/SG/A/2011/002153/15695 Appeal No. CIC/SG/A/2011/002153

Relevant facts emerging from the Appeal:

Appellant

Mr. Nitin Motilal Gandhi

204 B. Sweet CHS.

Plot No. 19, Sector -14, Vashi, Navi Mumbai 400703.

Mr. Goverdhan Rao PIO & Head Personnel Division.

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre Office of the Head Personnel Division, 3rd Floor, Central Complex, BARC.

Trombay, Mumbai-400085.

RTI application filed on PIO replied on

First Appeal filed on

First Appellate Authority order of Second Appeal received on

28-03-2011 26-04-2011 25-05-2011

09-03-2011

02-08-2011

SL.

information sought.

List of all the Scientific Officers of Radiation Biology & Health Sciences Division (RB & HSD, Bio-Medical (3roupBARC, Trombay, Mumbai- 40Q 085) who had been abroad for postdoctoral research, while on EOL, during the period spanning from January 2003 to Deember2010

- List of research publications of these Scientific officers in peer reviewed Scientific Journals based on their postdoctoral research work during their respective EOL period:
- Year-wise CR /APAR grading given to these Scientific Officers by the Foreign Professor / Mentor, during their EOL/postdoctoral stay abroad, along with the CR/APAR grading given by Division Head, RB & I-ISD, Associate Director (B) and the Director, 13io-Medical Group.
- During this period, whenever the grading were changed by the Division head, RB &

The PIO reply.

A list of scientific officer of RB&HSD, who had been abroad for postdoctoral research while on EOL during the period spanning from January 2003 to December, 2010, will be made available on payment of Rs. 2/- ((a) Rs. 2/- per page for 1 no. of Page) by way of Demand Draft or pay order issued by any Bank payable at Mumbai or Indian Postal Order payable to "Accounts Officer, BARC" or in cash to be deposited with APO. HRD&SR&W Section between 2.30pm to 4.00pm.

List of research publications of Scientific Officers of RB & HSD In Peer-reviewed Scientific Journals based on their postdoctoral research work during their OL period, will b' made available on payment of Rs.:10/-(@Rs.2/- per page for 5.Nos...of pages) by way o Demand Draft or Pay order issued by any Bank(payable at Mumbai or Indian Posta Order payable to "Accounts Officer, BARC" or In cash to be deposited with APO, HRD&SR&V Section between 2.30 pm to 4.00 pm.

The information requested by you relates to personal information the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or Interest, therefore exempted under Cause 8 (1)(e) of the RTI Act, 2005. Moreover the information available to a person in his fiduciary relationship, therefore exempted under Cause 8(1)(e) of the RTI Act. Therefore information requested by you cannot be provided:

The information requested by you relates to personal information the disclosure of which has no relationship HSD. Associate Director (B) and the to any public activity or Interest, therefore exempted

grading given by their respective -professor abroad (either down or up) the reason cited while doing so.

Director, 13to-Medical Group, from the under Cause 8 (1)(e) of the RTI Act, 2005. Moreover the information available to a person in his fiduciary relationship, therefore exempted under Cause 8(1)(e) of the RTI Act. Therefore information requested by bu cannot be provided:

## Grounds for the First Appeal:

The appellant was not satisfied with the PIO reply.

Order of the First Appellate Authority (FAA):

As desired by the applicant he was given a personal hearing on 20.05.2011 at 1700 hrs. After hearing him and considering his appeal and perusing the reply given by the Public Information Officer, I found that the query raised by Shri Nitin M. Gandhi in his RTI application dated 09.03.2011 has been replied by the PIO in an adequate manner within the prescribed time limit. I uphold the replies given by PIO. BARCIn respect of Q Nos.3 & 4.

Ground of the Second Appeal:

The appellant was not satisfied with the PIO reply & FAA order.

## Relevant Facts emerging during Hearing:

The following were present

Appellant: Mr. Nitin Motilal Gandhi on video conference from NIC-Mumbai City Studio;

Respondent: Mr. Goverdhan Rao, PIO & Head Personnel Division on video conference from NIC-

Mumbai City Studio;

The PiO has given certain information but refused information on query 3 & 4 claiming exemption under Section 8(1) of the RTI Act. The PIO states that the Appellant is seeking ACRs of other officers and some information about the research work done by these officers may be available on these. He claims that disclosing this may affect the scientific interest of the state and hence is exempt under Section 8(1)(a) of the RTI Act. The Commission directs the PIO to give the attested copies of the ACRs after severing any information which discloses research work which may affect the scientific interest of the country. This should be done as per the provisions of Section-10 of the RTI Act.

## Decision:

The Appeal is allowed.

The PIO is directed to provide the information as directed above to the Appellant before 05 December 2011.

This decision is announced in open chamber.

Notice of this decision be given free of cost to the parties.

Any information in compliance with this Order will be provided free of cost as per Section 7(6) of RTT Act.

Shailesh Gandhi Information Commissioner 16 November 2011