

केन्द्रीय सूचना आयोग
Central Information Commission
बाबा गंगनाथ मार्ग, मुनिरका
Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka
नई दिल्ली, New Delhi – 110067

द्वितीय अपील संख्या / Second Appeal No. CIC/BARCM/A/2018/121894

Palani Muthu Rathinavel Chatriyan

... अपीलकर्ता/Appellant

VERSUS
बनाम

CPIO, Bhabha Atomic Research
Centre

...प्रतिवादी /Respondent

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI : 13.10.2017	FA : 19.12.2017	SA : Nil
CPIO : 13.11.2017	FAO : 13.02.2018	Hearing : 02.08.2019

ORDER

1. The appellant filed an application under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai seeking information pertaining to the recruitment for the post of Helpers during the year 2016-2017.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal before the Commission with a prayer to provide him information regarding his recruitment for the post of helper on

compassionate appointment subsequent to the demise of his brother who was employed by the respondent Public Authority.

Hearing:

3. The appellant Shri Palani Muthu Rathinavel Chatriyan and the respondent Dr. R. Murugaiah, Chief Administrative Officer and CPIO, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai attended the hearing through video conferencing.

4. The appellant submitted that at the second appeal stage he had sought compassionate appointment to the post of 'Helper' in the respondent Public Authority. Hence, the Commission should direct the respondent to appoint him as a 'Helper'.

5. The respondent submitted that the query raised in the RTI application was not clear and specific hence did not fall within the purview of the definition of the term "information" under Section 2(f) of the RTI act, 2005. At the first appeal stage, the appellant did not request for information but requested for appointment to the vacant post of 'Helper' which was in the nature of grievance redressal outside the purview of the RTI Act, 2005.

Decision:

6. The Commission, after hearing both the parties and perusing the records, observes that an appropriate reply has been provided to the appellant by the respondent. The Commission observes that the appellant is aggrieved that he has not been appointed as a 'Helper'. However, the RTI Act is not the proper law for redressal of grievances and there are other appropriate fora for resolving such

matters. Hence, no further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.

7. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.
8. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

Sd/-

Sudhir Bhargava (सुधीर भार्गव)
Chief Information Commissioner (मुख्य सूचना आयुक्त)
दिनांक / Date 05.08.2019

Authenticated true copy
(अभिप्रमाणित सत्यापित प्रति)

S. S. Rohilla (एस. एस. रोहिल्ला)
Dy. Registrar (उप-पंजीयक)
011-26186535 / do.cicsb@cic.nic.in

Addresses of the parties:

1. The First Appellate Authority,
Bhabha Atomic Research Centre,
Central Complex, 3rd Floor,
BARC, Trombay,
Mumbai- 400085
2. The Central Public Information Officer,
Bhabha Atomic Research Centre,
Central Complex, 3rd Floor,
BARC, Trombay,
Mumbai- 400085
3. Shri Palani Muthu Rathinavel Chatriyan,